

1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 FOR THE DISTRICT OF PUERTO RICO

3 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

4 Plaintiff,

5 v.

6 CRIMINAL :16-445 (PAD)

7 VÍCTOR R. BURGOS-COTTO,

8 Defendant.

9

10 **REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION**
11 **RE: RULE 11(c)(1)(B) PROCEEDINGS (PLEA OF GUILTY)**

12 **I. Procedural Background**

13 On July 13, 2016, Víctor Burgos Cotto (hereinafter referred to as “defendant”), assisted and
14 represented by counsel, waived his right to be charged by means of an indictment, consented to the
15 filing of an information, and entered a guilty plea as to count one of the information. Count one charges
16 that from in or about 2012 until in or about 2014, in the District of Puerto Rico, and elsewhere within
17 the jurisdiction of this court, the defendant having knowledge of the commission of a felony offense as
18 alleged in Count 24 of the indictment in Criminal No. 15-739 (PAD), which charges a conspiracy to
19 commit wire fraud in violation to Title 18 United States Code 1346, did conceal the same and did not
20 as soon as possible make known the same to some judge or other person in civil or military authority
21 under the United States, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 4.

22 **II. Consent to Proceed Before a Magistrate Judge**

23 In open court the defendant was questioned as to the purpose of the hearing being held and was
24 advised of: (a) the nature and purpose of the hearing; (b) the fact that all inquiries were to be conducted
25 under oath and that it was expected that his answers would be truthful; (c) the potential consequences
26 of lying under oath (such as a perjury charge); and (d) his right to have the entry of plea proceedings
27 presided by a district judge instead of a magistrate judge. The defendant was also explained the

differences between the appointment and functions of the two. The defendant consented to proceed before the undersigned magistrate judge.

III. Proceedings Under Rule 11, Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure

A. Rule 11(c)(1) Requirements

Rule 11 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure governs the acceptance of guilty pleas to federal criminal violations. Pursuant to Rule 11, in order for a plea of guilty to constitute a valid waiver of the defendant's right to trial, guilty pleas must be knowing and voluntary: "Rule 11 was intended to ensure that a defendant who pleads guilty does so with an 'understanding of the nature of the charge and consequences of his plea.'" United States v. Cotal-Crespo, 47 F.3d 1, 4 (1st Cir. 1995) (quoting McCarthy v. United States, 394 U.S. 459, 467 (1969)). [There are three core concerns in these proceedings]: 1) absence of coercion; 2) understanding of the charges; and 3) knowledge of the consequences of the guilty plea. United States v. Cotal-Crespo, 47 F.3d at 4 (citing United States v. Allard, 926 F.2d 1237, 1244-45 (1st Cir. 1991)). United States v. Hernández-Wilson, 186 F.3d 1, 5 (1st Cir. 1999).

B. Admonishment of Constitutional Rights

To assure defendant's understanding and awareness of his rights, defendant was advised of his right:

1. To remain silent at trial and be presumed innocent, since it is the government who has the burden of proving his guilt beyond a reasonable doubt.

2. To testify or not to testify at trial, and that no adverse inference could be made in relation to his decision not to testify.

3. To a speedy trial before a district judge and a jury, at which he would be entitled to see and cross examine the government witnesses, present evidence on his behalf, and challenge the government's evidence.

4. To have a unanimous verdict rendered by a jury of twelve persons which would have to be convinced of defendant's guilt beyond a reasonable doubt by means of admissible evidence.

5. To use the subpoena power of the court to compel the attendance of witnesses.

Upon listening to the defendant's responses, observing his demeanor and his speaking with his attorney, that to the best of counsel's belief defendant had fully understood his rights, it is determined that defendant is aware of his constitutional rights.

2 **C. Consequences of Pleading Guilty**

3 Upon advising defendant of his constitutional rights, he was further advised of the consequences
4 of pleading guilty. Specifically, defendant was advised that by pleading guilty and upon having his
5 guilty plea accepted by the court, he will be giving up the above rights and will be convicted solely on
6 his statement that he is guilty.

7 Furthermore, the defendant was admonished of the fact that by pleading guilty he would not be
8 allowed later on to withdraw his plea because he eventually might disagree with the sentence imposed,
9 and that if he violates the conditions of supervised release, that privilege could be revoked and he could
10 be required to serve an additional term of imprisonment. He was also explained that parole has been
11 abolished.

12 In response to further questioning, defendant was explained and he understood that if convicted
13 on count one he will face a term of imprisonment of not more than three (3) years, a fine not greater
14 than two hundred fifty thousand dollars (\$250,000.00), and a term of supervised release of not more
15 than one (1) year.

16 The defendant was advised that the ultimate sentence was a matter solely for the court to decide
17 in its discretion and that, even if the maximum imprisonment term and fine were to be imposed upon
18 him, he later could not withdraw his guilty plea for that reason alone. The defendant understood this.

19 The defendant acknowledged that at this stage no guarantees or promises as to the sentence to
20 be imposed had been made to him. The defendant was also explained what the supervised release term
21 means and was urged to cooperate with the United States Probation Office.

22 **D. Plea Agreement¹**

23 The parties have entered into a written plea agreement that, upon being signed by the
24 government, defense attorney and defendant, was filed and made part of the record. Defendant was
25 clearly warned and recognized having understood that:

- 26 1. The plea agreement is not binding upon the sentencing court.

27
28 ¹ "Plea agreement" refers to the agreement and its supplement.

2. The plea agreement is an agreement between the defendant, defense counsel and the attorney for the government which is presented as a recommendation to the court in regards to the applicable sentencing adjustments and guidelines, which are advisory.

3. The agreement provides a sentencing recommendation and/or anticipated sentencing guideline computation, that can be either accepted or rejected by the sentencing court.

4. In spite of the plea agreement and any sentencing recommendation contained therein, the sentencing court retains full discretion to reject such plea agreement and impose any sentence up to the maximum possible penalty prescribed by statute.

Defendant acknowledged having understood this explanation.

E. Government's Evidence (Basis in Fact)

The government presented a proffer of its evidence consistent with the version of facts of the plea agreement with which the defendant concurred. Accordingly, it is determined that there is a basis in fact and evidence to establish all the elements of the offense charged.

F. Voluntariness

The defendant accepted that no threats had been made to induce him to plead guilty and that he did not feel pressured to plead guilty.

G. Waiver of Appeal

The defendant was explained, and he understood, that if the court accepts the plea agreement and sentences him according to its terms, conditions and recommendations, he will be surrendering his right to appeal the sentence and judgment in this case.

IV. Conclusion

The defendant, by consent, has appeared before me pursuant to Rule 11, Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, and has entered a plea of guilty as to count one of the information. After cautioning and examining the defendant under oath and in open court, concerning each of the subject matters mentioned in Rule 11, as described in the preceding sections, I find that defendant is competent to enter this guilty plea, is aware of the nature of the offense charged and the maximum statutory penalties that

5

the same carries, understands that the charge is supported by the government's evidence, has admitted to every element of the offense charged, and has done so in an intelligent and voluntary manner with full knowledge of the consequences of his guilty plea. Therefore, I recommend that the court accept the guilty plea of the defendant and that the defendant be adjudged guilty as to count one of the information.

This report and recommendation is filed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B). Any objections to the same must be specific and must be filed with the Clerk of Court within fourteen (14) days of its receipt. Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b). Failure to timely file specific objections to the report and recommendation is a waiver of the right to review by the district court. United States v. Valencia-Copete, 792 F.2d 4 (1st Cir. 1986).

SO RECOMMENDED.

In San Juan, Puerto Rico, this 9th day of August, 2016.

s/Marcos E. López
U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE